## Pediatric gastrointestinal endoscopy: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) and European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) Guideline Executive summary





#### **Authors**

Andrea Tringali<sup>1,\*</sup>, Mike Thomson<sup>2,\*</sup>, Jean-Marc Dumonceau<sup>3</sup>, Marta Tavares<sup>4</sup>, Merit M. Tabbers<sup>5</sup>, Raoul Furlano<sup>6</sup>, Manon Spaander<sup>7</sup>, Cesare Hassan<sup>8</sup>, Christos Tzvinikos<sup>9</sup>, Hanneke Ijsselstijn<sup>10</sup>, Jérôme Viala<sup>11</sup>, Luigi Dall'Oglio<sup>12</sup>, Marc Benninga<sup>5</sup>, Rok Orel<sup>13</sup>, Yvan Vandenplas<sup>4</sup>, Radan Keil<sup>15</sup>, Claudio Romano<sup>16</sup>, Eva Brownstone<sup>17</sup>, Štěpán Hlava<sup>15</sup>, Patrick Gerner<sup>18</sup>, Werner Dolak<sup>19</sup>, Rosario Landi<sup>1</sup>, Wolf Dietrich Huber<sup>19</sup>, Simon Everett<sup>20</sup>, Andreas Vecsei<sup>21</sup>, Lars Aabakken<sup>22</sup>, Jorge Amil-Dias<sup>4</sup>, Alessandro Zambelli<sup>23</sup>

#### Institutions

- 1 Digestive Endoscopy Unit, Catholic University, Rome, Italy
- 2 International Academy for Paediatric Endoscopy Training, Sheffield Children's Hospital, Weston Bank, Sheffield, UK
- 3 Gedyt Endoscopy Center, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- 4 Department of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Centro Hospitalar de São João, Porto, Portugal
- 5 Department of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Emma Children's Hospital, Academic Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands
- 6 Department of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition, University Children's Hospital Basel, Switzerland
- 7 Department of Gastroenterology, Erasmus MC Cancer Institute, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- 8 Department of Gastroenterology, Nuovo Regina Margherita Hospital, Rome, Italy
- 9 Department of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Alder Hey Children's Hospital, Liverpool, UK
- 10 Department of Pediatric Surgery and Intensive Care, Erasmus MC, Sophia Children's Hospital, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- 11 Department of Pediatric Gastroenterology, Robert-Debré Hospital, Paris, France
- 12 Digestive Endoscopy and Surgery Unit, Bambino Gesù Children's Hospital-IRCCS, Rome, Italy
- 13 Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, University Children's Hospital Ljubljana, Slovenia
- 14 Pediatric Gastroenterology, UZ Brussel, Vrije Universiteit Brussel, Brussels, Belgium
- 15 Department of Gastroenterology, Motol University Hospital, Prague, Czech Republic
- 16 Department of Pediatrics, University of Messina, Italy
- 17 IV Medical Department, Rudofstiftung Hospital, Vienna,
- 18 Department of General Pediatrics, Children's Hospital Freiburg University, Freiburg, Germany

- 19 Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine III, Medical University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria
- 20 Department of Gastroenterology, Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK
- 21 Department for Pediatric Nephrology and Gastroenterology, Medical University of Vienna, Austria
- 22 GI Endoscopy Unit, OUS, Rikshospitalet University Hospital, Oslo, Norway
- 23 Gastroenterology and Digestive Endoscopy Unit, Ospedale Nuovo Robbiani di Soresina, Italy

#### **Bibliography**

DOI http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-0042-111002 Published online: 12.9.2016 | Endoscopy 2017; 49: 83–91 © Georg Thieme Verlag KG Stuttgart · New York ISSN 0013-726X

This Executive summary and the full Guideline are published simultaneously in Endoscopy and the Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition, respectively. Copyright 2016 © Georg Thieme Verlag KG and © Wolters Kluwer.

#### Corresponding author

Andrea Tringali, MD PhD, Digestive Endoscopy Unit, Catholic University, Largo A. Gemelli 8, 00168 Rome, Italy, Fax: +39-6-30157220, andrea.tringali@unicatt.it

#### **ABSTRACT**

This Executive summary of the Guideline on pediatric gastrointestinal endoscopy from the European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) and the European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) refers to infants, children, and adolescents aged 0–18 years. The areas covered include: indications for diagnostic and therapeutic esophagogastroduodenoscopy and ileocolonoscopy; endoscopy for foreign body ingestion; endoscopic management of corrosive ingestion and stricture/stenosis; upper and lower gastrointestinal bleeding; endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography, and endoscopic ultrasonography. Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy and endoscopy specific to inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) have been dealt with in other Guidelines and are therefore not mentioned in this Guideline. Training and ongoing skill maintenance will be addressed in an imminent sister publication.

<sup>\*</sup> Co-First authors

#### **ABBREVIATIONS**

AUGIB acute upper gastrointestinal bleeding

CT computed tomography

EGD esophagogastroduodenoscopy

ERCP endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreato-

graphy

ESGE European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy ESPGHAN European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology

Hepatology and Nutrition

EBUS endobronchial ultrasound EUS endoscopic ultrasonography

FCSEMS fully covered self-expandable metal stent

GI gastrointestinal

GRADE Grading of Recommendations Assessment,

Development and Evaluation graft-versus-host disease

GVHD graft-versus-host disease IBD inflammatory bowel disease

MMC mitomycin C

NSAID non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug

RCT randomized controlled trial TAC triamcinolone acetonide

## Time definitions

Emergent/emergency < 2 hours Urgent/urgently < 12 hours or < 24 hours and defined in text Early < 48 hours but may be at clinician's discretion

## Introduction

Gastrointestinal (GI) endoscopy in the pediatric population has evolved during the last 30 years with an increasing number of diagnostic and therapeutic applications. Technological improvements in endoscope design and endoscopic devices have contributed to the evolution of pediatric endoscopy.

Endoscopy in the pediatric population has generally, to date, been performed by both non-pediatric endoscopists in conjunction with pediatricians and by pediatric endoscopists in specialized centers.

This document is the Executive summary of the Guideline on pediatric GI endoscopy [1] commissioned by the European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) and the European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE). The aims of the evidence-based and consensus-based Guideline are to provide a comprehensive review of the clinical indications and timing of diagnostic and therapeutic endoscopy in pediatric patients. It is not meant to be a comprehensive overview of a patient's care, and investigation/therapy for each area will, of course, involve the clinician's discretion regarding the place of endoscopy in overall management, encompassing, as it must, complementary non-endoscopic approaches. The role of endoscopy in the overall management will depend on a number of factors, including but not limited to the specific clinical features, the availability/appropriateness of non-endoscopic approaches, and the available skills of the

endoscopist. This Guideline tries to address this issue of endoscopist skills, and certainly the upcoming ESPGHAN/ESGE Guideline on training in pediatric endoscopy will help in this respect. How, where, and when endoscopy may be employed in pediatric management is particularly important in the areas of GI bleeding and endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography/endoscopic ultrasound (ERCP/EUS).

This undertaking is the first joint endoscopy review between pediatric and adult endoscopy representative groups in Europe. Our aspiration is that this Guideline may lead to a degree of standardization in the utility and practice of endoscopic approaches for children, thereby contributing to excellence and appropriateness of care.

Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy and endoscopy specific to inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) have been dealt with in other Guidelines [2–4], and are therefore not mentioned in the pediatric GI endoscopy Guideline. Training and ongoing skill maintenance will be addressed in an imminent sister publication.

## Methods

ESGE and ESPGHAN agreed to develop a joint guideline. Two guideline leaders (A.T. for ESGE and M.T. for ESPGHAN) invited the listed authors to participate in the project. The key questions were prepared by the coordinating team (A.T., M.T., M. M.T., R.F., Y.V., J.-M.D.) and then approved by the other members. The coordinating team established task force subgroups, each with its own leader, and assigned the following key topics among the task forces: esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) and ileocolonoscopy; foreign bodies; corrosive ingestion; corrosive ingestion and esophageal strictures/stenoses; GI bleeding; endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP); and endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS). Each task force performed a systematic literature search to prepare evidencebased and well-balanced statements on their assigned key questions. Searches were performed in PubMed and/or EMBASE and/or Cochrane (publication date from 2000 to May 2015, or before if strictly needed), including as a minimum the key words "pediatric" and "endoscopy." All articles studying the application of diagnostic and therapeutic endoscopy in the pediatric age range were selected by title or abstract. The results of the relevant publications were summarized in literature tables and graded by the level of evidence and strength of recommendation according to the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) system [5,6]. Each task force proposed statements on their assigned key questions which were discussed and voted on during the plenary meeting held in February 2015 in Munich. In November 2015, a draft prepared by A.T., C.H. and M.T. was sent to all group members. After agreement from all the authors on a final version, the manuscript was reviewed by two members of the ESGE Governing Board, ESGE individual members and the ESPGHAN Council.

The manuscript was then submitted to the *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition* for publication in full length and to *Endoscopy* for publication of the Executive summary.

Both the Guideline and Executive summary were issued in 2016 and will be considered for review and update in 2021 or sooner if new and relevant evidence becomes available. Any updates to the Guideline or Executive summary in the interim will be noted on the ESGE and ESPGHAN websites: http://www.esge.com/esge-guidelines.html and http://www.espghan.org/guidelines/

## Recommendations

## Esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest diagnostic and therapeutic EGD for the indications listed in ▶ **Table 1** and ▶ **Table 2**, respectively. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** do not suggest EGD in the case of uncomplicated gastroesophageal reflux, functional gastrointestinal disorders, or for diagnosing perforation. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest routine tissue sampling even in the absence of visible endoscopic abnormalities in all children undergoing EGD. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest using ESPGHAN guidelines (on eosinophilic esophagitis, *Helicobacter pylori*, celiac disease, and inflammatory bowel disease [IBD]) for precise indications and preferred sites for biopsy during EGD in children suspected of a specific disease (> Table 3). (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest performing EGD in children under general anesthesia or, only if general anesthesia is not available, under deep sedation in a carefully monitored environment. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest performing EGD in a child-friendly setting with appropriate equipment and by an endoscopist trained in pediatric gastroenterology. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that when adult endoscopists perform pediatric procedures, collaboration between adult gastroenterologists and pediatricians is always warranted. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that the choice of gastroscope type should depend on the child's weight and age (> Table 4). (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

## Ileocolonoscopy

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest ileocolonoscopy for the diagnostic and therapeutic indications listed in **Table 5.** (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest against ileocolonoscopy in the case of toxic megacolon, recent colonic perforation (<28 days), recent intestinal resection (<7 days), or functional GI disorders. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest performing ileocolonoscopy in children under general anesthesia or, only if general anesthesia is not available, under deep sedation in a carefully monitored environment. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that ileocolonoscopy should be performed in a child-friendly setting with appropriate equip-

► Table 1 Typical diagnostic and therapeutic indications, non-indications, and contraindications for esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) in pediatric patients.

Diagnostic indications	Weight loss, failure to thrive
	Unexplained anemia
	Abdominal pain with suspicion of an organic disease
	Dysphagia or odynophagia
	Caustic ingestion
	Recurrent vomiting with unknown cause
	Hematemesis
	Hematochezia
	Unexplained chronic diarrhea
	Suspicion of graft versus host disease
	Chronic GERD, to exclude other diseases, or surveillance of Barrett's esophagus
Therapeutic indications	Percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy (re)placement
	Duodenal tube placement
	Foreign body removal
	Food impaction
	Hemostasis
	Percutaneous jejunostomy placement
	Esophageal varices
	Dilation of esophageal or upper GI strictures
	Perforation
	Achalasia
	Polypectomy
Non-indications	Uncomplicated GERD
	Functional GI disorders
Contraindications	To diagnose perforation
GERD, gastroesophageal reflux	disease; GI, gastrointestinal

ment and by an endoscopist trained in pediatric gastroenterology. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that when non-pediatric endoscopists perform pediatric procedures in older children, collaboration with a pediatrician is always warranted. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that the choice of colonoscope type should depend on the child's weight and age (> Table 4). (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

► Table 2 Diagnostic indications for esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) in pediatric patients: symptoms/signs according to suspected disease.

Symptoms/signs	Suspicion of:
Weight loss, failure to thrive, chronic diarrhea, malabsorption, anemia, abdominal pain with suspicion of an organic disease	Celiac disease or IBD, giardiasis, allergic enterocolitis, bleeding lesions, graft versus host disease
Dysphagia, odynophagia, chest pain, feeding difficulty	Foreign body ingestion, food impaction, caustic ingestion or eosinophilic esophagitis
Hematemesis, hematochezia, melena	Polyps, angiodysplasia, arteriovenous malformations, peptic ulcer with or without <i>Helicobacter pylori</i> infection, less common conditions such as duplication cysts
Family history of polyposis syndromes	Polyps (diagnostic and surveillance)
IBD, inflammatory bowel disease.	

► **Table 3** Indication and site for tissue sampling during upper and lower endoscopy in pediatric patients.

Indication	Tissue samples: sites and numbers
Eosinophilic esophagitis	At least 3 biopsies should be taken, one from proximal mid and distal esophagus, regardless of the endoscopic appearance of the esophagus
Helicobacter pylori infection	2 biopsies from both the antrum and the corpus (± fundus)
Celiac disease	At least 1 biopsy from the duodenal bulb and at least 4 biopsies from the second or third portion of the duodenum
IBD	Multiple biopsies (2 or more per section) from all sections of the visualized GI tract, even in the absence of macroscopic lesions
IBD, inflammatory bow	vel disease; GI, gastrointestinal.

#### Bowel preparation for ileocolonoscopy in children

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend low-volume preparation for bowel cleansing in children, using either polyethylene glycol plus ascorbate or picosulphate plus magnesium citrate/Senokot. (Strong recommendation, high quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend against the use of sodium phosphate for bowel cleansing. (Strong recommendation, high quality evidence.)

## Ileocolonoscopy in children: biopsy, carbon dioxide insufflation, ileal intubation, polypectomy technique

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest routine biopsy even in the absence of visible endoscopic abnormalities in all children with suspected IBD undergoing ileocolonoscopy. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest using ESPGHAN guidelines relating to ulcerative colitis and the revised Porto criteria for diagnosis of IBD for precise indications and preferred sites to biopsy. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** did not find any evidence to recommend against or for the use of routine carbon dioxide insufflation during ileocolonoscopy in children. Pain seems to be rare and mild after ileocolonoscopy in children. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that ileal intubation should be attempted in symptomatic children with abdominal pain, intestinal bleeding, diarrhea, or with any suspicion of IBD. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest removal of very small polyps (< 3 mm) by cold biopsy forceps and 3 – 8 mm polyps by hot or cold snaring. Cold snaring is advisable in the right colon where the perforation risk is higher. For polyps > 8 mm, hot snaring is suggested. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

#### Foreign body ingestion

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend an early referral to the emergency room and X-ray evaluation in all patients with suspected foreign body ingestion even if asymptomatic. Biplane radiographs should be obtained of the neck, chest, abdomen, and pelvis if

▶ Table 4 Types of endoscopes used in pediatric patients according to body weight, age, and procedure.

	EGD	Colonoscopy	ERCP	EUS
Weight or age				
<10 kg or <1 year	≤6 mm gastroscope preferred. Consider standard adult gastroscope if endotherapy required.	≤6 mm gastroscope, standard adult gastroscope, or pediatric colonoscope.	7.5 mm duodenoscope	Miniprobe or 7.4 mm EBUS scope.
≥10 kg or ≥1 year	Standard adult gastroscope. Therapeutic gastroscope if needed.	Pediatric or adult colonoscope.	Therapeutic duodenoscope (4.2 mm operative channel)	Miniprobe or 7.4 mm EBUS scope.
≥15 kg or ≥3 years	-	-	-	Adult radial/linear echoendoscope

EGD, esophagogastroduodenoscopy; ERCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography; EUS, endoscopic ultrasonography; EBUS, endobronchial ultrasound.

► **Table 5** Typical diagnostic and therapeutic indications, non-indications, and contraindications for ileocolonoscopy in pediatric patients.

Diagnostic indications	Unexplained anemia
Diagnostic malcations	·
	Unexplained chronic diarrhea
	Perianal lesions (fistula, abscess)
	Rectal blood loss
	Unexplained failure to thrive
	Suspicion of graft versus host disease
	Rejection or complications after intestinal transplantation
	Radiological suspicion of ileocolonic stenosis/stricture
	Polyposis syndromes
Therapeutic indications	Polypectomy
	Dilation of ileocolonic stenosis
	Treatment of hemorrhagic lesions
	Foreign body removal
	Reduction of sigmoidal volvulus
Non-indications	Functional GI disorders
	Constipation
Contraindications	Toxic megacolon
	Recent colonic perforation
	Recent intestinal resection (<7 days)
GI, gastrointestinal.	

indicated. Computed tomography (CT) scan can be considered for radiolucent foreign bodies. (Strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest early EGD if the foreign body is in the esophagus. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

#### Blunt foreign bodies and coins

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend removal of blunt foreign bodies and coins or impacted food from the esophagus urgently (<24 hours), even in asymptomatic children. If the child is symptomatic an emergent (<2 hours) removal is indicated especially for button batteries. (Strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest removal of blunt foreign bodies from the stomach or duodenum if the child is symptomatic or if the object is wider than 2.5 cm in diameter or >6 cm in length. Otherwise, blunt foreign bodies in the stomach can be followed and retrieved only if they produce symptoms or do not

pass spontaneously after 4 weeks. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

#### Sharp-pointed objects

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend emergent (<2 hours) removal of sharp-pointed objects located in the esophagus (all cases). (Strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend emergent (<2 hours) removal of sharp-pointed objects in the stomach or proximal duodenum even in asymptomatic children. (Strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence.)

#### **Batteries**

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend to emergently (<2 hours) remove button batteries impacted in the esophagus. (Strong recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest to remove button batteries in the stomach emergently (<2 hours) if the child is symptomatic and/or has a known or suspected anatomical pathology in the GI tract (e.g. Meckel's diverticulum), and/or has simultaneously swallowed a magnet. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that button batteries larger than > 20 mm present in the stomach should be checked by radiography and removed if still in place after more than 48 hours. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend an urgent endoscopic removal (< 24 hours) for single cylindrical battery ingestion when impacted in the esophagus and as soon as possible elsewhere in the GI tract when the child is symptomatic. (Strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that a single cylindrical battery in the stomach can be observed and the child monitored as an outpatient and followed by X-ray 7 – 14 days after ingestion if the battery is not passed in the stool. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

#### Magnets

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend urgent (<24 hours) removal of all magnets within endoscopic reach. For those beyond endoscopic reach, close observation and surgical consultation for non-progression through the GI tract is advised. (Strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence.)

#### Food bolus impaction

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend removal of impacted food from the esophagus as an emergency 2 hours from the time of presentation (and ideally from the time of ingestion) in case of symptoms (drooling, neck pain). If the child is asymptomatic an urgent (< 24 hours) removal is indicated. (Strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest investigation for underlying pathology of the esophagus in all cases of food impaction. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

#### Drug packets

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend against endoscopic removal of drug-containing packets. (Strong recommendation, low quality evidence.)

## Equipment for removal of foreign bodies

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that flexible endoscopy is an effective and safe procedure for removing foreign bodies from the GI tract, with a high success rate using retrieval nets, polypectomy snares, and rat-tooth forceps. (Weak recommendation, very low quality evidence.)

#### Corrosive ingestion

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that every child that has ingested a corrosive substance should have a thorough follow-up, with endoscopy dictated only by symptoms, and dependent on the symptoms the timing should be within 24 hours. (Strong recommendation, high quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend that every child with a suspected caustic ingestion and symptoms/signs (any oral lesions, vomiting, drooling, dysphagia, hematemesis, dyspnea, abdominal pain, etc) should have an EGD in order to identify all consequent digestive tract lesions. (Strong recommendation, high quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that in the case of suspected corrosive ingestion EGD is withheld if the child is asymptomatic (no drooling of saliva/other symptoms and no mouth lesions) and that adequate follow-up is assured. (Weak recommendation, moderate quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend to have the same grade of suspicion for both acidic and alkali ingestion regarding potential mucosal injury. (Alkali ingestion, especially lye, is associated with more severe esophageal lesions and severe gastric lesions can occur in acidic ingestion.) Stricture development has been associated with both acidic and alkali ingestion. (Strong recommendation, high quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend high doses of intravenous dexamethasone  $(1\,g/1.73\,m^2$  per day) administration for a short period (3 days) in IIb esophagitis after corrosive ingestion as a method of preventing the development of esophageal stricture. There is no evidence of benefit for the use of corticosteroids in other grades of esophagitis (I, IIa, III). (Strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence.)

### Benign esophageal strictures

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend esophageal dilation using balloon or bougies for benign esophageal strictures only when symptoms occur. (Strong recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest the following definition of a benign refractory or recurrent stricture in children: "An anatomic restriction because of cicatricial luminal compromise or fibrosis that results in dysphagia in the absence of endoscopic evidence of inflammation. This may occur as the result of either an inability to successfully remediate the anatomic problem to obtain age-appropriate feeding possibilities after a maximum of 5 dilation sessions (refractory) with maximal 4-week intervals, or as a result of an inability to maintain a satisfactory luminal diameter

for 4 weeks once the age-appropriate feeding diameter has been achieved (recurrent)." (Weak recommendation, very low level of evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest temporary stent placement or application of topical mitomycin C (MMC) following dilation for refractory esophageal stenosis in children. ESGE/ESPGHAN do not suggest the routine use of intralesional steroids for refractory esophageal stenosis in children. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

In patients operated for esophageal atresia, ESGE/ESP-GHAN suggest long-term endoscopic surveillance for Barrett's esophagus and cancer. Frequency would be dictated by the presence or not of dysplasia and should follow standard guidelines already published in the literature. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

## Upper and lower GI bleeding

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that, having employed all necessary medical interventions as standard, EGD be performed very early (≤ 12 h) in acute upper GI bleeding (AUGIB) cases which require ongoing circulatory support or where a large hematemesis or melena occurs. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend that, having employed all necessary medical interventions as standard, EGD be performed very early (<12h) in AUGIB in cases with known esophageal varices. (Strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that, having employed all necessary medical interventions as standard, EGD be performed within 24 hours in AUGIB cases which require transfusion due to hemoglobin drop below 8 g/dL, where an acute drop of 2 g/dL is identified, and in those who are stable but whose bleeding score is above a recognized threshold/validated score for probable endoscopic intervention requirement. (Weak recommendation, moderate quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that EGD be performed before hospital discharge in children with AUGIB and pre-existing liver disease or portal hypertension. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** do not suggest routine use of wireless capsule endoscopy/enteroscopy in AUGIB in children. (Weak recommendation, moderate quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that urgent (24 hours) therapeutic ileocolonoscopy is not usually necessary in lower GI bleeding unless severe enough to cause circulatory compromise but diagnostic ileocolonoscopy is needed as soon as is practical and safe. (Weak recommendation, weak quality evidence.)

## Endoscopic hemostasis technique for GI bleeding in children

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend hemostasis of esophageal variceal bleeding in children, using band ligation, if feasible, or sclerotherapy as an alternative. (Strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that the treatment of peptic ulcers and Dieulafoy's lesion should not be carried out with epine-phrine injection alone but in combination with thermal or me-

Biliary		Pancreatic	
Diagnostic	Therapeutic	Diagnostic	Therapeutic
Cholestasis in neonates and infants	Common bile duct stones	Evaluation of anomalous biliopan- creatic junction	Chronic pancreatitis
Choledochal cyst	Bile leak (post-surgical/post-traumatic)		Recurrent acute pancreatitis
Primary sclerosing cholangitis (brush cytology)	Benign biliary strictures		Pancreas divisum
	Primary sclerosing cholangitis		Pancreatic duct leak (post-surgical/post-traumatic)
	Malignant biliary strictures		Pancreatic pseudocyst
	Parasitosis (ascariasis, fascioliasis)		
ERCP, endoscopic retrograde cholangiop	ancreatography		

chanical techniques. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest adopting general anesthesia in children undergoing endoscopy for GI bleeding. General anesthesia is recommended in the case of variceal bleeding. Deep sedation may be used in less severe bleeding in older children. (Weak recomendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest using video capsule endoscopy (VCE) in children in the case of suspected small-intestinal bleeding and in addition balloon enteroscopy for therapeutic purposes. (Weak recommendation, moderate quality evidence.)

# Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest ERCP in pediatric patients (>1-year-old) for therapeutic purposes following diagnostic information from non-invasive diagnostic modalities such as magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography (MRCP). Diagnostic ERCP can be considered in selected cases where advanced non-invasive imaging is inconclusive. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend that therapeutic ERCP in pediatric patients (>1-year-old) is considered for diseases listed in ► **Table 6** following diagnostic information from non-invasive modalities such as MRCP. Results and complication rates of ERCP in children are similar to those reported in adults. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that diagnostic ERCP in neonates and infants (≤ 1-year-old) with cholestatic hepatobiliary disease is considered if non-invasive investigations are not conclusive in order to allow timely referral to surgery for suspected biliary atresia or to avoid unnecessary surgery if biliary atresia is excluded. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend that ERCP in children is performed by an experienced endoscopist, in a high-volume ter-

tiary care center, and with pediatric involvement. (Strong recommendation, moderate quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest general anesthesia for ERCP in children. Deep/conscious sedation can be considered for teenagers (age 12 – 17 years) although general anesthesia is the preferred choice. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**Prophylaxis of post-ERCP pancreatitis** with non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) (diclofenac/indomethacin suppository) is recommended in children older than 14 years. (Strong recommendation, high quality evidence.)

**Protection of radiosensitive organs** (thyroid gland, breasts, gonads and eyes) is recommended together with adjustment of collimation to the smaller size of children. (Strong recommendation, high quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** recommend the pediatric 7.5-mm duodenoscope for children weighing <10 kg and that a therapeutic duodenoscope can be used in those weighing ≥10 kg. (Strong recommendation, low quality evidence.)

► **Table 7** Typical indications for endoscopic ultrasonography in pediatric patients.

Esophagus	Stomach	Duodenum	Biliopancreatic
Congenital esophageal stenosis	Gastric duplication	Duodenal duplication	Bile duct stones
Eosinophilic esophagitis	Gastric varices		Pancreatic pseudocyst (diagnosis and treatment)
Esophageal duplications			Pancreatic diseases (± FNA)
FNA, fine-needle	e aspiration.		

### Endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS)

The endobronchial ultrasound (EBUS) endoscope can be adapted for EUS in children with a weight below 15 kg. A standard linear echoendoscope should only be employed in children under general anesthesia, considering the stiff and potentially traumatic distal part. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest the use of EUS in children only in tertiary referral centers with experience in therapeutic endoscopy. Strict collaboration between adult and pediatric gastroenterologists is required in the case of EUS with standard echoendoscopes. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest the use of radial EUS with miniprobes to diagnose congenital esophageal strictures (tracheobronchial remnants vs. fibromuscular stenosis subtypes). (Weak recommendation, very low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest consideration of EUS for the diagnosis of pancreaticobiliary diseases in children where non-invasive imaging modalities (ultrasonography, MRCP) are inconclusive (>Table 7). (Weak recommendation, very low quality evidence.)

**ESGE/ESPGHAN** suggest that EUS-guided drainage of pancreatic pseudocysts in children should be performed in large EUS centers with specific experience and expertise. (Weak recommendation, low quality evidence.)

ESGE and ESPGHAN guidelines represent a consensus of best practice based on the available evidence at the time of preparation. They may not apply in all situations and should be interpreted in the light of specific clinical situations and resource availability. Further controlled clinical studies may be needed to clarify aspects of these statements, and revision may be necessary as new data appear. Clinical considerations may justify a course of action at variance to these recommendations. ESGE and ESPGHAN guidelines are intended to be an educational device to provide information that may assist endoscopists in providing care to patients. They are not rules and should not be construed as establishing a legal standard of care or as encouraging, advocating, requiring, or discouraging any particular treatment.

#### Competing interests

M.Th. has participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker and/or for Danone/Nutricia, Nestlé, Mead Johnson, Movetis, Jannsen, Norgine, Reckitt-Benkeiser, Cook, Olympus\_KeyMed, Fujinon, Storz, Pentax and Boston-Scientific.

A.T. has participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for Boston Scientific.

J-M. D. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

M. Tav. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

M. Tab. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

R.F. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

M.S. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

C.H. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

C.T. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

H.I. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

J.V. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

L.D. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

M.B. has participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for Shire, Movetis, Sucampo, Norgine, Astra Zeneca, Zeria, Novolac, Sensus, Danone/Nutricia and Friesland Campina.R.O. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

R.K. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

C.R. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

E.B. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

S.H. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

W.D. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

W-D.H. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

S.E. has participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for Olympus. A.V. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

L.A. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

J. A-D. has participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for Danone/ Nutricia, Astra Zeneca and Prospectos.

A.Z. has not participated as a clinical investigator and/or advisory board member and/or consultant and/or speaker for any company.

#### References

- [1] Thomson M, Tringali A, Dumonceau JM et al. Pediatric gastrointestinal endoscopy: European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology Hepatology and Nutrition (ESPGHAN) and European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guidelines. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2016; 00: 00 – 00 PAP
- [2] Heuschkel RB, Gottrand F, Devarajan K et al. ESPGHAN position paper on management of percutaneous endoscopic gastrostomy in children and adolescents. | Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2015; 60: 131 – 141
- [3] Koletzko S, Jones NL, Goodman KJ et al. Evidence-based guidelines from ESPGHAN and NASPGHAN for Helicobacter pylori infection in children. | Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2011; 53: 230 – 243
- [4] Turner D, Levine A, Escher JC et al. Management of pediatric ulcerative colitis: joint ECCO and ESPGHAN evidence-based consensus guidelines. J Pediatr Gastroenterol Nutr 2012; 55: 340 361
- [5] Guyatt GH, Oxman AD, Vist GE et al. GRADE: an emerging consensus on rating quality of evidence and strength of recommendations. BMJ 2008: 336: 924–926
- [6] Dumonceau JM, Hassan C, Riphaus A et al. European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Guideline Development Policy. Endoscopy 2012; 44: 626 629